

Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks. Claims 1, 3-13, 15, 17-21, 23, 24, 26, 28, 31-34, 36, 38, and 39 are pending in the application. No claims have been allowed. Claims 1, 20, 31, and 36 are independent. Claims 1, 20, 28, 31, 36, 38, and 39 have been amended. Claims 16, 22, and 35 have been canceled without disclaimer and without prejudice to pursuing in a continuing application.

Cited Art

The Action cites:

Gordon, U.S. Patent No. 6,560,774 (hereinafter “Gordon”);
Inside Microsoft .NET IL Assembler (hereinafter “Microsoft-IL”); and
Syme, U.S. Patent No. 7,346,901 (hereinafter “Syme”).

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Action rejects claims 20-24, 26, 28, 36, 38, and 39 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as allegedly directed toward non-statutory subject matter. Applicants respectfully disagree but, in the interest of expediency, have amended independent claims 20 and 36 as well as dependent claims 38 and 39 as recommended by the Examiner. Claims 20-24, 26, 28, 36, 38, and 39 should now pass muster under § 101, and Applicants thank the Examiner for his suggestion.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The Action rejects claims 1, 3-13, 15-24, 26, 28, and 31-35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Gordon in view of Microsoft-IL. The Action further rejects claims 36, 38, and 39 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Microsoft-IL in view of Syme. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Claims 1, 3-13, 15, and 17-19 are Allowable Over Gordon in View of Microsoft-IL

Claim 1, as amended, recites a method of representing type information for a typed intermediate language via objects of classes in a class hierarchy, wherein the class hierarchy

comprises at least one class and a plurality of sub-classes for representing different type classifications, the method comprising (emphasis added):

instantiating one or more objects of one or more of the sub-classes of the hierarchy, wherein the one or more sub-classes represent classifications of types for the typed intermediate language; and

storing information in the one or more objects;

wherein the typed intermediate language is capable of representing a plurality of different programming languages, and wherein the one or more objects represent type information for instructions in the typed intermediate language;

wherein the classifications of types comprises a primitive type associated with a primitive type size, and wherein the primitive type size is settable to represent a constant size, the primitive type size is settable to represent a symbolic size, and the primitive type size is settable to represent an unknown size; and

wherein one of the sub-classes representing a primitive type represents an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering.

Claim 1 has been amended, in part, with language similar to dependent claim 16. In addition, see the Application page 9, line 20 to page 10, line 8.

Neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL, whether viewed separately or in combination with each other, teach or suggest the above language of claim 1. For example, neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL teach or suggest “wherein one of the sub-classes representing a primitive type represents an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering” as recited in amended claim 1.

Regarding an “unknown type,” the Examiner cites to Gordon at col. 30, lines 25-45 (Action page 8, with respect to claim 16). However, this section of Gordon only describes passing data encapsulated in an object (boxed) or by value (unboxed) by the Execution Engine. Gordon does not teach or suggest, “wherein one of the sub-classes representing a primitive type represents an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering” as recited in amended claim 1. Furthermore, as understood by Applicants, Microsoft-IL does not cure this deficiency.

Because Gordon and Microsoft-IL, whether considered separately or in combination with

each other, do not teach or suggest each and every element of amended claim 1, claim 1 is allowable over Gordon and Microsoft-IL. Dependent claims 3-13, 15, and 17-19 are allowable at least because they depend from allowable claim 1. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the § 103 rejections and allowance of claims 1, 3-13, 15, and 17-19.

Claims 20, 21, 23-24, 26, and 28 are Allowable Over Gordon in View of Microsoft-IL

Independent claim 20, as amended, recites a computer-readable medium storing a software program thereon, the program comprising computer executable instructions for implementing a method for representing type information for a typed intermediate language using a class hierarchy for representing different type classifications, the method comprising (emphasis added):

defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as ‘PrimType’, wherein the programming class represents primitive type information for the typed intermediate language;

associating a size with instances of the ‘PrimType’ class, wherein the size is settable to represent an actual size of instances of the ‘PrimType’ class, settable to represent a symbolic size of instances of the ‘PrimType’ class, and settable to represent an unknown size of instances of the ‘PrimType’ class, and *wherein the actual size and the symbolic size are defined as a number of bits*; and

associating a kind of type with instances of the ‘PrimType’ class;

wherein the class ‘PrimType’ represents a plurality of types comprising at least an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering.

Claim 20 has been amended, in part, similarly to claim 1 (also see dependent claim 28).

In addition, claim 20 has been amended with language similar to dependent claim 22. In addition, see the Application at page 22, lines 23-28.

For at least the reasons discussed above with regard to claim 1, neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL teach or suggest, “wherein the class ‘PrimType’ represents a plurality of types comprising at least an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering,” as recited by claim 20.

In addition, neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL teach or suggest, “associating a size with instances of the ‘PrimType’ class, wherein the size is settable to represent an actual size of

instances of the 'PrimType' class, settable to represent a symbolic size of instances of the 'PrimType' class, and settable to represent an unknown size of instances of the 'PrimType' class, and *wherein the actual size and the symbolic size are defined as a number of bits,*" as recited by claim 20. Regarding defining primitive type sizes as a number of bits, the Examiner cites to Gordon at col. 17, line 42 to col. 18, line 10 and Fig. 12 (Action, page 9, with regard to claim 22). These sections of Gordon describe various type fields, but do not teach or suggest this language.

Because Gordon and Microsoft-IL, whether considered separately or in combination with each other, do not teach or suggest each and every element of amended independent claim 20, claim 20 is allowable over Gordon and Microsoft-IL. Dependent claims 21, 23-24, 26, and 28 are allowable at least because they depend from allowable independent claim 20. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the § 103 rejections and allowance of claims 20-21, 23-24, 26, and 28.

Claims 31-34 are Allowable Over Gordon in View of Microsoft-IL

Amended independent claim 31 recites a method for representing type information for a typed intermediate language using a class hierarchy by programmatically defining a type representation, the method comprising (emphasis added):

defining a base class of the class hierarchy;

defining a plurality of classes hierarchically below the base class, wherein the plurality of classes represent type information for the typed intermediate language, and wherein the plurality of classes represent at least pointer types, container types and function types of a plurality of programming languages, and wherein the plurality of classes further comprise primitive types and the primitive types are associated with a primitive type size settable to represent a constant size, settable to represent a symbolic size, and settable to represent an unknown size;

wherein one of the primitive types represents an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering.

Claim 31 has been amended, in part, similarly to claim 1 (in addition, see dependent claim 35).

For at least the reasons discussed above with regard to claim 1, neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL teach or suggest, "wherein one of the primitive types represents an unknown type,

wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering,” as recited by claim 31.

Because Gordon and Microsoft-IL, whether considered separately or in combination with each other, do not teach or suggest each and every element of amended independent claim 31, claim 31 is allowable over Gordon and Microsoft-IL. Dependent claims 32-34 are allowable at least because they depend from allowable independent claim 31. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the § 103 rejections and allowance of claims 31-34.

Claims 36, 38, and 39 are Allowable Over Microsoft-IL in View of Syme

Amended independent claim 36 recites a computer-readable medium storing a software program thereon, the program comprising computer executable instructions for implementing a method for representing type information for a typed intermediate language using a class hierarchy for representing different type classifications, the method comprising, in part (emphasis added):

defining a programming class of the class hierarchy as 'PrimType', wherein an object of class 'PrimType' is a type representation for the typed intermediate language for primitive types in a section of code written in one of a plurality of programming languages;

wherein the object of class 'PrimType' is associated with a size settable to represent a constant size for the object of class 'PrimType', settable to represent a symbolic size for the object of class 'PrimType', and settable to represent an unknown size for the object of class 'PrimType'; and

wherein the class 'PrimType' represents a plurality of types comprising at least an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering.

Claim 36 has been amended, in part, similarly to claim 1.

For at least the reasons discussed above with regard to claim 1, neither Gordon nor Microsoft-IL teach or suggest, “wherein the class ‘PrimType’ represents a plurality of types comprising at least an unknown type, wherein the unknown type can represent any type, and wherein a compiler drops type information by changing a known type to the unknown type during a stage of lowering,” as recited by claim 36. Furthermore, as understood by Applicants, Syme does not cure this deficiency.

Because Microsoft-IL, Gordon, and Syme, whether considered separately or in combination with each other, do not teach or suggest each and every element of independent claim 36, claim 36 is allowable. Dependent claims 38 and 39 are allowable at least because they depend from independent claim 36. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the § 103 rejections and allowance of claims 36, 38, and 39.

Interview Request

If the claims are not found by the Examiner to be allowable, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney to set up an interview to discuss this application.

Conclusion

The claims in their present form should be allowable. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By 
Cory A. Jones
Registration No. 55,307